The Science Fraud by Prof. Christian Drosten

Corona_Facts July 10, 2020 [Original German article <u>https://telegra.ph/Der-Wissenschaftsbetrug-durch-Prof-Christian-</u> <u>Drosten-07-10</u>]

Prof. Christian Drosten is known today in principle to everyone who has ever heard of Corona, and that should be most of them. Strangely enough, few know about his strange predictions, his contradictions, his dangerous statements, like scaremongering, but worst of all is probably the obvious science fraud Drosten has committed. The question one asks oneself is, does Prof. Drosten do this out of praiseworthy thoughts, in order to regain renown from his massive mistakes (swine flu scandal), or for monetary reasons, or are there even worse reasons that I dare not address.

I will show in this article that Drosten has lost the scientific code, or even does not know it at all. Both would be fatal. Let's get on with it.



The chronological sequence of the PCR test by Prof. Drosten and the Berlin Charité (please note the dates)

On 30.12.2019: the ophthalmologist Li Wenliang informed professional colleagues by WhatsApp that there are 7 cases in his hospital that are confirmed positive for SARS.

On 31.12.2019: the government in Beijing sent an "intervention force" of virologists and epidemiologists to support the cause in Wuhan.

On 01.01.2020: Prof. Christian Drosten from the Charité heard about it and immediately started the development of SARS viruses before it was even clear and could be clear whether the report from China about SARS was true and proven, and above all before the Chinese virologists published their results! He testified that as of January 1, 2020, he had developed a genetic detection method to reliably prove the presence of the new corona virus in humans.

On 21.01.2020: (3 days before the first publication of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and **Prevention [CCDC])** the WHO recommended all nations to use the "safe" test procedure developed by Prof. Drosten.

Fact: Prof. Drosten used scientifically **NOT** verified data for his rapidly globalised PRC test of the 2019nCOV, which was renamed SARS-CoV-2 on February 7, 2020 with the participation of Prof. Drosten. This gave the impression that the Chinese had actually discovered a new virus, but this was never the case!

The claim that he had a reliable test procedure is therefore completely impossible. **Drosten breaks and disregards the following rules with it.**

1. disregarding the clearly defined rules of science, which are part of his employment contract

2. the increase and globalisation of the Chinese epidemic panic, in which he violated the thinking laws and logic of virology

On 23.01.2020: Publication (PDF) of the development of the test method of Prof. Drosten. On **page 3** of this article, **left column, 8 lines from below**, he describes the first and decisive step of his approach:

"Prior to the announcement of public virus sequences from 2019-nCoV cases, we relied on reports in the social media announcing the detection of a SARS-like virus. Therefore, we assumed that a SARS-related CoV was involved in the outbreak."

Results

Before public release of virus sequences from cases of 2019-nCoV, we relied on social media reports announcing detection of a SARS-like virus. We thus assumed that a SARS-related CoV is involved in the outbreak.

At that time, no clinical data were available that could have formed the basis for such a presumption.

On page 2 left column it says:

"In the present case of 2019-nCoV, virus isolates or samples from infected patients are not yet available to the international community for public health purposes. We report here on the establishment and validation of a diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV screening and specific confirmation developed in the absence of available virus isolates or original patient samples. Design and validation were made possible by the close genetic relationship to the SARS-CoV of 2003 and supported by the use of synthetic nucleic acid technology".

In the present case of 2019-nCoV, virus isolates or samples from infected patients have so far not become available to the international public health community. We report here on the establishment and validation of a diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV screening and specific confirmation, designed in absence of available virus isolates or original patient specimens. Design and validation were enabled by the close genetic relatedness to the 2003 SARS-CoV, and aided by the use of synthetic nucleic acid technology.

Methods

I would like to make a very important point at this point.

Regarding SARS-CoV-1 (2003) to which Drosten refers in his test for SARS-CoV-2 (2019), there is a massive problem.

In the publication in NATURE - Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS(2003) virus the headline suggests, as so often, that Koch's postulates have been fulfilled.

There 5 relevant studies are given. (Also the study by Drosten at that time)

However, **MAIN** states "According to Koch's postulates, as modified by Rivers for viral diseases, six criteria are required to establish a virus as the cause of a disease".

Translated: "According to Koch's postulates, modified by Rivers for viral diseases, six criteria are required to establish a virus as the cause of a disease". After analysing the studies, it becomes clear that neither Koch's postulates nor River's were followed in the studies. For details please read my article "Leading Corona researchers admit that they have no scientific proof of the existence of a virus".

Already in 2003 also SARS-Cov-1 was created **mentally** to a genetic strand of a new virus, in which Christian Drosten was significantly involved, **only 2 days later** Prof. Drosten offered an alleged test procedure for this mentally compounded alleged virus (*entry on Wikipedia from 29.05.2020*)!

To date, the virologists have not succeeded in detecting a SARS virus from a patient, a bat, another animal, or in the laboratory. The assumption of the virologists that there are in fact viral genetic strands that are constructed in the same way as the **genetic strands that are made up of short gene sequences could not be confirmed to date**.

Anyone who knows English can directly recognise the fact that the "virus genome" (Complete genome) is constructed in thought alone in this publication, in which the RKI was significantly involved: "Complete Genome Sequence of a Wild-Type Measles Virus Isolated during the Spring 2013 Epidemic in Germany". Prof. Mankertz, co-author of this publication and head of the National Reference Institute for Measles, Mumps and Rubella at the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), has claimed in response to inquiries that control experiments were carried out for this study, which rule out that typical cell components (expert opinion no. 3) are misinterpreted as virus components. However, it refused to release the documentation of these control experiments. In her complaint, Prof. Mankertz replied that she did not have any control experiments. Dr. Stefan Lanka wrote to all authors and their laboratory managers and asked about the control experiments, which have been mandatory since 1998. None of the authors wrote to him has replied. Also the rectors of the addressed institutes did not answer and so the complaint procedure went to nothing.

Only on 24.01.2020 and 03.02.2020: The authoritative virologists of the Chinese disease authority (CCDC) published their results on 24.01.2020 and 03.02.2020. They report on the isolation of "many" short gene sequences, which, when strung together, could represent a genetic strand of a new type of virus.

The authors **explicitly** pointed out (as well as all other virologists involved to date "as of 10.07.2020") that the **absolutely necessary experiments** have not yet been carried out which would make it possible to claim that this is indeed a genetic strand of a pathogenic virus.

On the contrary: Chinese virologists even explicitly point out that the constructed genetic strand bears up to 90% similarity to genetic strands of harmless and for decades known, claimed corona viruses in bats. This is what is stated in the study of **24.01.2020** under **discussion**, "*our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates*" (*Our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates*).

On **30.01.2020:** after several previous tests, which always turned out negative, the doctor was finally tested positive with a test. This increased the panic when the eye doctor told the world.

Knowledge:

The all-important and decisive question arises whether Prof. Drosten has fulfilled his **scientific duty**, which is part of his employment contract (§2 "*Principles of Good Scientific Practice*"), to himself and consistently verify all assertions made in his publication about the detection method he developed and the public statements based on it.

The answer is a clear NO

This central scientific duty gives rise to three central questions:

1. has Prof. Drosten checked whether the gene sequences which form the basis of his test procedure and which were made available to him by Chinese virologists are actually sequences that originate from a virus?

2. did Prof. Drosten carry out the control experiments which are mandatory in science and which prove whether the sequences he used actually originate from a virus? Did he carry out the control experiments which prove whether the sequences he uses, which he attributes to the new virus, are in fact sequences which he attributes to the new virus, are in reality not sequences which are produced in every metabolism, perhaps even in plants, such as Tanzanian papayas (fruits) [video of the president [Reuters also reported] or which are produced in increased quantities in the metabolism in the case of diseases?

3 Based on which assumptions, experiments and control experiments can Prof. Drosten claim that his test procedure, with which he detects only partial areas of 2 (two) genes from the genome of a total of 10 (ten) genes of the corona virus, detects a whole, active and disease-causing virus? And not just fragments of a virus, after an assumed successful battle of the immune system or the presence of defective or incomplete or harmless viruses in our genetic material, which are typical and make up 50% (according to the virologist Prof. Karin Mölling) of the gene masses of our chromosomes?

Note to Karin Mölling: What Mrs. Mölling does not know or is hiding is the fact that metabolism constantly produces a large amount of RNA gene sequences of any composition, which do not appear in the form of DNA sequences in the chromosomes. This fact calls into question the claims of existence of all RNA viruses, such as corona viruses, Ebola virus, HIV, measles virus and the SARS viruses. This fact is also the basis why with control experiments not only the corona crisis, but the fear and mishandling by the entire virology of the alleged disease viruses is immediately ended.

Answered in summary: Due to the chronological sequences and the apparent acting out of them the questions are clearly answered "Prof. Drosten" did not answer these questions. Based on reports in the social media, he and his colleagues assumed that a corona virus linked to SARS could be involved in the alleged outbreak of atypical pneumonia. At the time, there was no clinical data available to support such a suspicion.

For the further steps he has taken to expose the fraud, please read the free issue of Dr. Stefan Lanka <u>Science plus misinterpretation Virus Part 2 on pages 7, 8 and 9</u>

For detailed information on PCR tests, read my two articles "PCR: A DNA test becomes a manipulation tool"

Prof. Christian Drosten was reported by Dr. Stefan Lanka

Prof. Drosten is the main person responsible for the fact that people all over the world have been, and still are, put under living conditions which are defined as crimes against humanity in the International Criminal Code (ICCPR) by means of the assertion of a provenly false fact.

Section 7 of the International Criminal Code (VStGB) defines in (1) as "Crimes against humanity." "Whoever, in the course of a widespread or systematic attack against a civilian population"

2. "with the intention of destroying all or part of a population, places all or part of that population under living conditions likely to bring about its total or partial destruction,".

5) "tortures a person in his custody or otherwise under his control by causing him substantial physical or mental harm or suffering which is not merely the consequence of sanctions permitted under international law".

8. "inflicts serious physical or psychological harm on another person, in particular of the kind referred to in Section 226 of the German Criminal Code,"

Prof. Drosten knows that with his test, even assuming that a SARS-CoV-2 virus would actually exist and that it was proven to have pathogenic properties

- whereby to this day the virologists involved point out that this evidence has not yet been produced (sic!) -,

a. cannot detect an intact, infectious virus, but only fragments that are attributed to the virus;

b. cannot distinguish between endogenous and exogenous substances.

Read more in the newsletter of Wissenschaftplus magazine 13 June 2020 - Misinterpretation Virus Part II: Beginning and end of the corona crisis

Prof. Christian Drosten relies on models of those who have always been completely wrong.

The Imperial College in London had published a model, i.e. an extrapolation or prognosis, in very simplified terms, of how infection figures and deaths would develop, what measures would be necessary, using the example of Great Britain and the USA. There are huge potential mortality rates. It is estimated that more than one in four people over 60 years of age will end up in intensive care. How did Drosten assess this calculation?

"Yes, I can't tell you now what my colleagues think of it, because this study is so new that hardly anyone has had time to read and understand it completely. But I also consider this to be a very important study. It is probably also one of the studies that has been behind the decisions of politicians in Great Britain. But here, too, the situation is now the same as here; we have consulted scientists for a long time, and these scientists have also tried to provide very differentiated data. At some point, though, a political decision was taken, and rightly so. We simply have to take political decisions now, where it is said that it is better to do something now than to miss an opportunity of some kind, and then to re-evaluate scientifically how we can take the necessary measures.

The question you have to ask yourself is, if he couldn't even really read the study, and as I'm about to show, one of the people responsible, "Neil Ferguson" also called Mr. Genuis, was completely wrong with all his previous pandemic models, why did he [Drosten] and other [governments] rely on something like that? Turning to Neil Ferguson.

The British corona middleman Neil Ferguson, seen but not observed!

Through his institute at London's Imperial College, he has great influence on the world's population. He himself is called Mr. Genius when it comes to the projection of computer models of epidemics.

According to the **Business Insider**:

"Ferguson's team warned Boris Johnson that the search for 'herd immunity' could cost 510,000 lives, resulting in an abrupt reversal... His simulations have been influential in other countries and have been quoted by authorities in the US, Germany and France".

These countries used Ferguson's model as a model and implemented it.

The instruction "see source Business-Insider" led to a lockdown in several countries and thus to economic devastation!

So let's take a look at NEIL Ferguson's track record, which is presented in the Business Insider article:

"Ferguson co-founded the MRC Centre for Global Analysis of Infectious Diseases based at Imperial in 2008. It is the leading body advising national governments on outbreaks of infectious diseases".

"It receives tens of millions of dollars in annual funding from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, works with the UK National Health Service and the US Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC), and is responsible for providing the World Health Organization with 'rapid analysis of urgent infectious disease issues'".

Does it click with you?

- Gates' money goes to Neil Ferguson

- Gates calls for and promotes vaccines and even more vaccines.

- Gates wants a COVID vaccine before all aspects of the planetary lockdown end. Of course, the lockdowns are already ruining the Earth's economy.

Needless to say, Bill Gates, already known in the mainstream media, is the secret head of the WHO.

Niel Ferguson provides the US CDC and WHO with a frightening computer projection of COVID deaths. Ferguson thus provides a rationale for the Gates vaccine plan. The CDC and WHO act on the basis of what Gates wants, **as Ferguson puts it. So we've come full circle.**

National governments capitulate to the WHO and the CDC. They implement a LOCKDOWN!

And here it comes!

"Michael Thrusfield, Professor of Veterinary Epidemiology at the University of Edinburgh, told the paper he had 'déjà vu' after reading the [Ferguson] Imperial paper [on COVID] that Ferguson was responsible for the excessive culling of animals during the 2001 foot-and-mouth outbreak.

...

"Ferguson warned the government then that 150,000 people could die. 6 million animals were slaughtered as a precautionary measure, costing the country billions in agricultural revenue. In the end 200 people died."

"In a similar vein, Neil Ferguson was accused of creating panic by overestimating the possible death toll during the 2005 bird flu outbreak. Ferguson estimated that 200 million people could die. The actual number was in the low hundreds".

So this man was demonstrably always wrong and spread incredible panic through his computer models, which had nothing to do with reality. But exactly this man is being followed by Prof. Drosten on his heels!

"In 2009, one of Ferguson's models predicted that 65,000 people could die from the swine flu outbreak in Britain - the final number was less than 500."

So you have to ask yourself, why would anyone believe what Ferguson predicted in this COVID hoopla?

Are his fellow experts and/or the presidents/ prime ministers so "stupid"?

Probably some of you are, others know it, but don't dare to say anything (we are currently seeing this from many lawyers and doctors), some are involved and want to create new structures, destroy economies and lead us into a new world order.

He has the story, entirely dressed in a computer model, composed by a man with a past full of pitiful and devastating failures.

Neil Ferguson is the ghost in the machine. The machine is the World Health Organization and the CDC. The man behind the ghost is Bill Gates.

As chance would have it, on May 5, 2020, the news comes that government scientist Neil Ferguson is stepping down.

It is not only the entanglements of the same people coming back again and again that worries me, but also the complete ignorance of a faulty past. It's not as if those responsible didn't know that. With all the knowledge we have today, one can no longer speak of ignorance, but must start out from full intention.

Prof. Drosten and the swine flu scandal

I do not want to list the complete swine flu scandal here, you can already read the excellent documentary (Arte - Profiteure der Angst) and the article (Rubikon -Schweinegrippe 2.0).

I would like to reproduce a statement by Drostens from Profiteure der Angst, which was answered so appropriately by Raphael-Viaveto Post Mi 25 Mar 2020, 13:28 [video seems to be censored] that I agree with this description 100%. It could not have been expressed better.

It's worth watching the whole film (profiteers of fear), but especially a special interview contribution first made me feel very exhilarated, then taught me the shudder. A virologist spoke about how viruses should change (from min. 27:30)

Quote: "You could say that this virus could take over certain gene segments from very dangerous other viruses, such as bird flu viruses, and then become a very dangerous virus. But even there, always, one must say with caution: the current virus is actually already very, very successful and one wonders what such a virus would gain from it. Because such a sudden leap in mutation is almost always accompanied by the virus being worse off than before, at least for a while. Because the virus does not want to kill people, the virus simply wants to exist. It can do this particularly well if its hosts continue to move inconspicuously in their social environment, i.e. are not seriously ill."

I almost collapsed from laughter because of the sheer ridiculousness and the quasi-religious, medieval belief in demons behind it. Then I decided to go back and see who's been yapping that nonsense. And to my quickly emerging horror, it was actually Prof. Christian Drosten, who developed the current Corona PCR test and is known as the co-discoverer of the cute corona viruses.

The belief behind these statements is for me characterised by an almost incomprehensible, childish ignorance. The alleged virus, a simple membrane envelope with RNA content, is assumed to have a will and the ability to make decisions! It is assumed that the virus is able to make a mutation leap deliberately when it feels bad (whatever that may mean; how does a membrane-enveloped RNA feel bad?) (nothing about "random mutations", the virus decides when it mutates)! The virus should have an instinct for survival (it doesn't want to kill, it wants to exist)!

Such a profoundly ridiculous humanisation can't even be called unscientific anymore. This is pure pre-scientific, infantile belief in ghosts. After the many, many years of dealing with the nonsense that is being passed off as modern science, it is not easy to surprise or even shock me with nonsensical statements. But this presentation, combined with the position this man holds today, really appalls me. It is the most pithily stupid thing I have heard from academics in the last ten years. But it does make a lot of sense in terms of virology.

Interesting facts about Prof. Christian Drosten

1) Drosten already called for a vaccination against swine flu

2) The vaccine Pandemrix, which Drosten rashly demanded without data in 2009, turned out to be a catastrophe both for the safety of the medicine (countless vaccinated people fell ill with narcolepsy as a result of the vaccination, see PEI of 28.11.2016) or (WDR) and for the national budget (hundreds of millions of euros of purchased vaccine had to be destroyed).

[On a fictitious ranking list, who would have completely disqualified himself as a political advisor for the evaluation of epidemic risks for related vaccines in the history of medicine, no one comes after this undisputed pole position (*Drosten*) by far...]

3) Drosten demands: ""We must override regulations for vaccines". It is actually unbelievable how someone, who in 2009, due to his completely wrong assessment of the vaccine, even demands today that the regulations be repealed. I am really stunned!

4) "Drostens faschistoide Fantasies" Short excerpt: And the authors of the British study answer the question about the voluntariness of the app irritatingly: of course the app had to be voluntary, like in China, where the app was "not compulsory but was required to move between quarters and into public spaces

and public transport". A deeply disturbing understanding of "not compulsory" for authors of a democratic society .

The fact that the de facto exclusive advisor of the German government in the discussion about the use of apps in the pandemic does not raise his voice unmistakably warning here and takes up the cudgels for the existing data-saving and human rights respecting alternative, but beyond the Chinese-inspired British model even fantasised about fascistoid surveillance and isolation strategies in the hands of artificial intelligence and algorithms, Christian Drosten once again disqualifies as an advisor to a democratically legitimised government whose actions must be based on the free democratic basic order.

5) Cooperation of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation with Lothar Wieler (RKI) and Christian Drosten (Berliner Charité)

6) Although the many side effects of mRNA vaccines are known as well as the massive side effects of nanoparticles [Spiegl] [mdr] [Vlad Georgescu] present in the vaccine, Drosten comes up with the following words: "Gene based vaccines have potential".

7) In the NDR podcast series "The Coronavirus Update with Christian Drosten" Christian Drosten, the director of the Charité and virologist, talks about the coronavirus crisis. André Barmettler, publisher of the ExpressZeitung, listens a little closer and finds a prospective salesman who presents his only solution to the people who are terrified, but not without getting lost in contradictions and using evil sales tricks such as Hegel's dialectic. Listen carefully to what the "star virologist" has to say!

8) Virologist Drosten about his test: I quote: "Sure: Towards the end of the course the PCR is sometimes positive and sometimes negative. That's where chance plays a role. If patients are tested negative twice and released as cured, positive test results may well be obtained again at home. ...which doesn't mean it's a reinfection."

9) Drosten has spread panic again and again: "it's getting very bad" or Drosten warns of dangerous background effects, and even warns of a second wave, although there hasn't been a first one yet. "It's a bit scary" - corona mutation? Drosten dares a worrying prognosis. As we now know, at no time was there any danger.

10) Drosten and the kids - numbers with a purpose... - 30.04.2020

Those who - like Drosten here - publicly formulate clear questions in advance and then the results of scientific studies in this way, let us express it cautiously: interpreted one-sidedly and, as a virologist, once again not even hiding political demands in scientific works ("Based on these results, we have to caution against an unlimited re-opening of schools and kindergartens in the present situation" - Jones 2020), disqualify themselves (once again) as unbiased advisors to a democratic government. Supplement: Questionable methods - Drosten study on infectious children grossly wrong

11) **Cambridge statisticians: Withdraw Drosten study, admit mistakes - 27.05.2020** The renowned statistician and risk researcher David Spiegelhalter from the University of Cambridge now joins the ranks of renowned epidemiologists and statisticians who in part sharply criticise the study by chief coronologist Drosten on the alleged infectivity of children.

12) After Prof. Drosten had already been involved in a scuffle with several magazines, BILD, Spiegel etc., Alexander Kekulé (director of the Institute for Medical Microbiology) and Drosten also got into an argument. **Kekulé** - "Recalculating the statistics cannot save the current work", Drosten twittered on this: "Kekulé makes mood. His presentation is tendentious. He doesn't know our data and quotes wrong. Kekulé himself couldn't be criticized, he would have to publish something first." So you see what's happening here. It's like a Punch and Judy show. All this is happening even though there is no evidence of SARS-CoV-2.

13) Jan Fleischhauer about the diva of the Charité - 08.06.2020 - The infallible Dr. Drosten: The Habeck of medicine has its own rules

14) After all the impertinence and false statements that have led to health problems, Drosten attacks his "colleagues" in all seriousness | [Tagesspiegel]

Follow us on Telegram to receive further summaries and important news.

Channel: https://t.me/Corona Fakten

Channel for discussion and questions: "Corona_Facts_Discussion" Mail: <u>https://t.me/Corona_Fakten/184</u>

Link for Facebook, Twitter and Co. : https://telegra.ph/Der-Wissenschaftsbetrug-durch-Prof-Christian-Drosten-07-10

Please also read our other important articles

- 1. The mask fraud is disenchanted
- 2. The PCR test is not validated
- 3. PCR: A DNA test becomes a manipulation tool
- 4. The fraud at the meat manufacturer Tönnies has been discovered
- 5. Sweden's facts disprove the belief in Corona
- 6. Court transcripts confirm: No scientific proof of the existence of the measles virus
- 7. All leading scientists confirm: COVID-19 does not exist